455

20 Glouces'r St
Toronto
Sept. 15th 79

President Falconer
Univ. of Toronto

Dear Sir,

On the 12th of July I wrote you asking for an explanation of the unfair treatment I had received at the hands of the University.

I wrote (as I thought) a very courteous letter to you, which you were good enough to ignore.

Would it be asking too much of your valuable time, to kindly reply to the letter mentioned above?

Respectfully yours
J. E. LeWorthy

454

September 20th, 1919

Mr. J. B. McCarthy,

28 Gloucester Street,

Toronto.

Dear Mr. McCarthy:

Last July after receiving your letter I saw the new Dean of the Faculty of Applied Science, General Mitchell, and requested him to look into the matter. He has not yet reported to me and is now absent from the city. He will probably communicate with me shortly after his return, and should he not I will speak to him about it as soon as possible.

Yours sincerely,

President.

400

20 Gloucester St
Toronto
Nov. 24th. 20

President Falconer,
Univ. of Toronto.

Dear Sir, May I respectfully ask you why a testimonial is refused me after 12 years service?

I left the Univ. of Toronto for

the unfair treatment I received.
I wanted to leave on good
terms with anyone in the
Chem. & Mining Bed. at
Fuel Tech (Rhodesia) why
this unfair treatment is being
served out to me.
Failing receiving a satisfactory
reply from You I must in justice
to myself demand a

public interests.

Respectfully yours

S. L. Woodward

March 25th, 1920

H. S. Lefferty, Esq.,
29 Gloucester Street,
Toronto.

Dear Sir:

I have your letter which I do not understand. Of course I cannot give you a testimonial, because I have had no oversight of your work at all. My advice to you is to ask the Professors under whom you have worked, and if they are unwilling to do so because they have been dissatisfied with your work I cannot compel them to do otherwise.

Yours sincerely,

President.

20 Gloucester St
Toronto.
June 14th 19.

To Sir Robt. Falconer.

Sir,

On or about the first of February I was asked by Prof. Ardagh to act as Lecture Assistant to W. Lancaster in connection with the course of lectures being given to returned soldiers.

A considerable amount of my own time was spent in preparing these lectures during the soldiers session and also kept me engaged during the month of June when my services at the Univ. had ceased.

For this work I was promised extra payment, and on Tuesday last Prof. Ardagh offered me $50.00 as remuneration for my services stated above, being extra work during four months of the session and

payment from June 1st to the 20th of my holidays, which I always calculate to make use of in other ways.

I refused to accept this offer as my usual salary is $175.00 per month, and the above offer would not pay me for my own time spent in this work more than 10c an hour.

I am not asking payment for the additional work I have done — partly in the usual time, but what I think it is only just, the Univ. should pay me my ordinary months salary, or at that rate for the time I have placed at their disposal.

I respectfully ask if the offer made me by Prof. Hodge is on the authority of the Univ. and if it is considered to be a fair & just recompense for my services?

I am Sir
Respectfully yours F. L. Lewton

University of Toronto
TORONTO, CANADA

FACULTY OF APPLIED SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING

438

November 10, 1919.

The President,

University of Toronto.

Dear Mr. President:-

Reference the attached communication from Mr. G. E. LeWorthy late Lecture Assistant and Glassblower in Dept. of Chemical Engineering, it will be remembered that Mr. LeWorthy's extra time given in June last was the subject of some discussion at the June meeting of the Board of Governors and you referred the matter to me for settlement. I have only been able to get into touch with him the week before last and have discussed the matter with him ascertaining the circumstances under which he performed the additional services. These services refer solely to extra time given during his holiday period as his is a sessional appointment. No recognition whatever is given or made with respect to extra work done by him during the sessional period itself.

It is consequently recommended that Mr. LeWorthy be paid an additional sum of $80. being in full for his extra services during June. He is willing to accept this amount on the above understanding.

There is an appropriation of $1250. for Glassblower, no appn. yet made. G.

Yours faithfully,

Dean.

Mr. LeWorthy's letter of June 14th is returned to you herewith.